

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 16-412V

Filed: August 25, 2016

UNPUBLISHED

DEBRA BOSTWICK-KENKEL, *

*

Petitioner, *

*

v.

*

SECRETARY OF HEALTH * Attorneys' Fees and Costs;
AND HUMAN SERVICES, * Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

*

Respondent. *

*

*Jeffrey S. Pop, Jeffery S. Pop & Associates, Beverly Hills, CA, for petitioner.
Claudia Barnes Gangi, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On March 31, 2016, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that after receiving a flu vaccination on October 6, 2014, she developed severe left shoulder pain caused in fact by the vaccination. On July 21, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 17).

On August 3, 2016, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 23). Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$14,229.00 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$889.67 for a total amount of \$15,118.67. *Id.* at 1. In

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

compliance with General Order #9, petitioner has filed a signed statement indicating petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses.

On August 18, 2016, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion. (ECF No. 25). Respondent argues that “[n]either the Vaccine Act nor Vaccine Rule 13 contemplates any role for respondent in the resolution of a request by a petitioner for an award of attorneys' fees and costs.” *Id.* at 1. Respondent adds, however, that she “is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case.” *Id.* at 2. Additionally, citing five prior SIRVA cases, she “asserts that a reasonable amount for fees and costs in the present case would fall between \$12,000.00 to \$14,000.00” but provides no basis or explanation for how she arrived at this proposed range. *Id.* at 3.

On August 22, 2016, petitioner filed a reply. (ECF No. 26). Petitioner argues that respondent's proposed range of compensation is too narrow and cites petitioner's workers' compensation claim as a complicating factor warranting the requested fees. (*Id.* at 1-2.) Petitioner includes a list of the attorneys' fees and costs awarded petitioner's counsel for settled shoulder injury cases in 2015 and 2016. (*Id.* at 5.)

The undersigned has reviewed the billing records submitted with petitioner's request. In the undersigned's experience, the request appears reasonable, and the undersigned finds no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$15,118.67³ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Jeffrey S. Pop, Esq.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey

Chief Special Master

³ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, “advanced costs” as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally *Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.